	Case 2:14-cv-00011-RFB-NJK Docume	nt 21 Filed 04/23/14 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7		
8	GILBERT P. HYATT,	2:14-CV-11 JCM (NJK)
9	Plaintiff(s),	
10	v.	
11	UNITED STATES PATENT AND	
12	TRADEMARK OFFICE and	
13	MICHELLE K. LEE IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY,	
14	Defendant(s).	
15	2 0101104111(0)1	
16	ORDER	
17	Presently before the court is the matter of Hyatt v. United States Patent and Trademark	
18	Office, et al, case no. 2:14-cv-11-JCM-NJK.	
19	On March 10, 2014, defendants filed a motion to dismiss. (Doc. # 14). Before that motion	
20	was fully briefed and ripe for review, plaintiff filed an unopposed motion to amend the complaint.	
21	(Doc. #20). With good cause appearing, and in light of plaintiff's representation that his request is	
22	unopposed, the court grants the motion to amend.	
23	An amended complaint supersedes the original pleading, making it "non-existent." Valadez-	
24	Lopez v. Chertoff, 656 F.3d 851,857 (9th Cir. 2011). If a litigant files an amended	
25	pleading, then motions to dismiss the original complaint are mooted without prejudice. <i>Johnson v</i> .	
26	Cheryl, 2013 WL 3943606, at *2 (D. Nev. 2013).	
27		
28		
James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge		

	Case 2.14-cv-00011-RFB-NJK Document 21 Filed 04/23/14 Page 2 01 2
1	Accordingly,
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that plaintiff's unopposed motion
3	to amend the complaint (doc. # 20) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED. The plaintiff shall file
4	the proposed amended complaint attached as exhibit A to the motion.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants' motion to dismiss (doc. #14) be, and the same
6	hereby is, DENIED without prejudice.
7	DATED April 23, 2014.
8	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	
27	
28	
20 n	

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge